POLICE AND CRIME COMMISSIONER FOR LEICESTERSHIRE DECISION RECORD

To be completed in cases where a decision is required

DECISION OF POLICE AND CRIME COMMISSIONER
Date: 23/10/2015
Officers present: Paul Stock (Chief Executive), Simon Down (Commissioning
Manager)
Received in OPCC Date: OPCC Ref:
OFCC Rel.
Title: Police and Crime Commissioner Commissioning Framework 2015-17 refresh for 2016/17:
Cummon of lower
Summary of Issue: The Police and Crime Plan outlines four key themes and a number of strategic priorities which provides a clear direction for allocating the available budget to maximum effect. The Commissioning Framework 2015-17 set out our spending intentions for 2015/16 and expected figures for 2016/17 against that plan. A refresh for 2016/17 (attached) has been produced to both confirm/alter expected figures and to set out further investments in response to strategic developments.
The refresh has taken into account feedback from partners and wider stakeholders who have considered the Commissioning Framework and provided feedback which has shaped our final plans.
Budgets have been allocated to each of the 4 strategic themes of the Police and Crime Plan as set out in Table 1 (attached).
An Equalities Impact Assessment has been undertaken for the refreshed framework.
Recommendations presented:
 Approve the funding allocations and distributions set out in Table 1 (attached).
Key discussion points at meeting:
It was resolved that :

Police and Crime Commissioner for Leicestershire Signature OFFICE OF THE PCC REPORT To be completed by either Chief Executive or Chief Finance Officer Subject: Police and Crime Commissioner Partner Funding:

I have been consulted about the proposal and confirm that appropriate advice has been taken into account in the preparation of this report. I am satisfied that this is an appropriate request to be submitted to the Police and Crime Commissioner Signature / Herences Date 3/1/15 Name

Publication Scheme

Subject:

Decision of Monitoring Officer:

OFFICE OF PCC APPROVAL

Chief Executive or Chief Finance Officer:

As Monitoring Officer for the Office of Police and Crime Commissioner for Leicestershire I have determined that:

It is appropriate to publish this record of decision made by the Police and Crime Commissioner: Yes / Part Redacted / No

It is appropriate to publish the contents of the assessment of the decision by either of the senior post holders in the Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner for Leicestershire (i.e. either the Chief Executive or Chief Finance Officer): Yes / Part Redacted / No-

It is appropriate to publish details of the decision by the Police and Crime Commissioner for Leicestershire: Yes / Part Redacted / No.

Reasons for any non Publication (referencing appropriate legislation):

PAUL STOCK Date 3/11/15.



for Leicestershire

Your voice in Leicester, Leicestershire & Rutland

Commissioning Framework

2016/17 Refresh

Contents

		Page
1.	Introduction	3
2.	Background	4
3.	Commissioning Budget	4
4.	Commissioning Outcomes	5
5.	Commissioning Framework	5
6.	Funding Mechanisms:	6
	a) Direct Commissioning	6
	b) Co-commissioning	6
	c) Partnership Locality Fund	7
	d) PCC Grant	8
7.	Appendix A - Strategic Priorities	9
8.	Appendix B - Existing commitments for 2016/17	10
9.	Appendix C - 2016/17 additional allocations	19
10	Appendix D - Glossan	20

1. Introduction

As the Police and Crime Commissioner for Leicestershire, I have some very specific responsibilities which include the following:

- Assuring an effective and efficient Police Service.
- Writing the Police and Crime Plan ('the Plan'), ensuring that it continues to reflect the aspirations and concerns of local people; I am charged with holding the Chief Constable to account in its delivery.
- And, lastly, setting the local precept which is the local tax to help fund the Police.

But there is another, absolutely key, part of my role which is to help the Chief Constable and other partners to drive down crime and anti-social behaviour by fulfilling my statutory duty to commission services in support of the Plan. Actually, I aim to commission 'outcomes' – an aspiration that demonstrates my determination to achieve value for taxpayers' hard-earned money as we continue to develop our commissioning processes.

This is the final, refreshed, Commissioning Framework (which covers the period up to March 2017) which I will issue as PCC. As before, it is directly aligned to the Plan, with each commissioning intention being demonstrably linked to my strategic priorities. It has, again, been produced in consultation with a wide range of partner organisations and local communities, who have helped to define not only the commissioning intentions but also the ways in which the desired outcomes will be purchased. For this iteration, we have also carried out a broad consultation – and we have listened. Hence, for example, I have decided to commission services for the full financial year 16/17, despite the fact that this reduces my successor's ability to make early and different choices – for this was the clear wish from you during the consultation process. So, as before, I remain very grateful to partners for their inputs, and I look forward to hearing how well these initiatives have delivered – even if that is from the slightly estranged position of my 'second retirement'!

This important work will play its own part in our joint contribution towards driving down crime thereby increasing the safety of the residents of Leicester, Leicestershire and Rutland.

23rd October 2015

Sir Clive Loader
Police and Crime Commissioner
Leicester, Leicestershire and Rutland

live Leade

2. Background

- 2.1 The Police and Crime Commissioner (PCC) for Leicestershire is responsible for setting the strategic direction for policing in Leicester, Leicestershire and Rutland (LLR) through the Police and Crime Plan. The Plan covers the whole of the PCC's period in office and is valid for the period of 1 April 2013 to 31 March 2017. The Chief Constable is responsible for the operational delivery of policing, including the Strategic Policing Requirement. The PCC is responsible for understanding and supporting the dynamic relationship between policing and local partner activity in support of the strategic priorities in the Police and Crime Plan.
- 2.2 The priorities set out in the Plan inform the PCC's decisions as to what funding is made available to the police and partners to secure reductions in crime and disorder. The PCC must identify opportunities for reducing crime, enabling communities to feel and be safer, protecting people who find themselves in a vulnerable situation and ensuring that victims and witnesses of crime and anti-social behaviour are positively supported.
- 2.3 The Police and Crime Plan was revised and re-published in October 2013. The Plan outlines four key themes (please refer to section 5.1) and a number of strategic priorities (Appendix A), which provide a clear direction for allocating the available budget to maximum effect. This Commissioning Framework sets out how the PCC intends to align the commissioning budget with those key themes and strategic priorities.
- 2.4 The Commissioning Intentions were first issued in 2013 and refreshed as the Commissioning Framework for 2014/15 and 2015/16 and this document details the refresh of the Commissioning Framework for 2016/17.

3. Commissioning Budget

- 3.1 The 2016/17 budget and precept will be set by the existing PCC in line with the Police and Crime Plan 2013-17.
- 3.2 Included within this, the commissioning budget for 2016/17 is anticipated to be £4.611m¹.
- 3.3 The proposed Commissioning Framework 2016/17 includes an element which will be available for consideration by the new PCC.
- 3.4 The proposed Commissioning Framework for 2016/17 includes £3.920m committed previously and these are detailed further in Appendix B
- 3.5 There is available funding therefore of £0.691m of which £0.332m in 2016/17 which will be allocated in line with Appendix C.

¹ This number includes assumptions made concerning the 2015/16 outturn against budget and is subject to review for the remainder of the financial year.

4. "Commissioning Outcomes"

- 4.1 The PCC has made it clear that outcomes and not services will be commissioned. With this in mind, this Commissioning Framework has been created which, as it is used and developed, will ensure future commissioning decisions are focused on the achievement of clearly defined outcomes.
- 4.2 It is recognised that partners may have difficulties in identifying and measuring the impact of their proposed initiative(s) on the outcomes within the Police and Crime Plan. The Commissioning Framework has been designed to be an operational tool that strives to keep performance measurement processes as simple as possible.
- 4.3 It will be the PCC's responsibility, through staff within the OPCC, to monitor progress for each commissioned activity against the proposed outcomes. A range of performance management systems will be used to do this. The OPCC will continue to work with partners and providers to develop performance indicators and an outcomes framework that can be easily managed and reported on.

5. Commissioning Framework

- 5.1 The Commissioning Framework is based upon the four themes, and strategic priorities, within the Police and Crime Plan. The themes (within the Police and Crime Plan) are:
 - 1) Reducing offending and re-offending
 - 2) Supporting victims and witnesses
 - 3) Making communities and neighbourhoods safer
 - 4) Protecting the vulnerable
- 5.2 The Commissioning Framework provides a clear and consistent way forward for the commissioning of each theme. It outlines how the PCC will commission for outcomes to achieve the priorities set out in the Police and Crime Plan. Four different types of funding mechanism have been developed. These are the ways in which the PCC will purchase the intervention needed to deliver outcomes. Information about the indicative commissioning values for 2016/17 are included in Appendices B and C.
- 5.3 A range of performance measures across all themes and outcomes have been developed and are being used to support contract tender specifications and final approved contracts. The performance management options continue to be developed with partners as measures and indicators are introduced and tested. The performance indicators are used by the OPCC to select the best measure(s) for the interventions they wish to purchase. The OPCC will continue to work with providers and partners to develop meaningful measures that can reliably evidence that progress is being made across all areas.

6. Funding Mechanisms

- 6.1 The PCC has considered the ways in which the initiatives needed to achieve the outcomes in the Police and Crime Plan can be delivered. The following principles have been considered:
 - The existing commissioning arrangements of partners should be used where they are fit for purpose, can deliver the PCC's outcomes within time and added value is achieved through the partnership approach. This will maximise local commissioning expertise.
 - There should be a focus on value for money, maximising resources and ensuring the impact of the money spent is measured and the value is assessed.
 - Commissioning should take place at regional, sub regional (i.e. Leicester, Leicestershire and Rutland) and locality levels. Systems should be fit for purpose and work with existing structures where these are operating well.
 - Best practice in relation to procurement will be applied. The PCC expects
 all procurement processes to follow best practice and be accessible for
 any provider, including the voluntary sector, unless a single provider
 dispensation has been agreed (please refer to 6.2a below). All relevant
 regulations and legislation will also apply including the Equalities Act 2010
 which includes the Public Sector Equality Duty.
- 6.2 There are four funding mechanisms as follows:
- a) Direct commissioning the PCC has/ will directly tender or contract with a provider. There are a number of areas where it is more efficient for the PCC to commission directly in order to achieve the desired outcomes. There are some instances where a single provider dispensation will be applied. This relates to situations when there is only one provider who, given the nature of the outcomes to be commissioned, can be considered and contracted with directly. Examples include the Local Resilience Forum, Troubled/Supported Families Programmes and Crimestoppers.
- b) **Co-commissioning** existing commissioners are already commissioning outcomes on behalf of the PCC under contracts. There are a number of both established and emerging commissioning structures which take on all or some of the core commissioning tasks. These include:
 - Reducing Reoffending Board
 - Sub Regional Substance Misuse Commissioning Board (managed by Leicester City Council)
 - Joint Commissioning Assurance Board (for sexual and domestic violence support)

c) Partnership Locality Fund (PLF) – via the Community Safety Partnerships (CSP) who have a unique role in assessing, analysing, and responding to local need around crime and community safety. As such they have both a proactive strategic function and a reactive tactical function when assessing the threats to individual localities.

In order to benefit from the existing structures and systems in place, the PCC will make a financial contribution towards the delivery of each Community Safety Partnership Delivery Plan. CSPs will be required to provide a copy of their 2016/17 Plan, together with a budget breakdown and performance framework. Meetings will then be held with each CSP to discuss their plan and clarify any issues. Funding will not be provided for any activity/service that duplicates existing provision in the locality.

Timescales for agreeing the PLF will be determined by the CSPs as it is recognised that each CSP produces its Plan at a different time of year. However, all meetings in relation to 2016/17 funding will need to be held by the end of February 2016 at the latest.

Following consultation, £450,000 will be made available for the full year 2016/17 which will be allocated using the Vulnerable Localities Index (as per table 1 below and appendix B). Further details of this methodology are available at https://www.ucl.ac.uk/jdibrief/analysis/Vulnerable-Localities-Index

Table 1.

CSP	2016/17
Blaby	£29,700
Charnwood	£67,950
Harborough	£23,850
Hinckley and Bosworth	£36,000
Leicester City	£215,100
Melton	£15,750
North West Leicestershire	£31,950
Ondry and Winston	£19,800
Rutland	£9,900
Total	£450,000

- d) The PCC Grant inviting community and voluntary sector organisations to submit applications to support the achievement of specific commissioning intentions and related outcomes in identified hotspot locations. Only those funds agreed through the previous PCC grants process will be provided under the current Police and Crime Plan (see appendix B for details). However, this funding mechanism may, at the discretion of the successful PCC candidate, be used in support of the new Police and Crime Plan.
- 6.3 For all funding mechanisms the PCC will hold contracts/agreements with the successful organisations that specify the detail of what outcomes are to be commissioned and for what value. The contracts/agreements will also specify quality standards, as well as performance measures for monitoring purposes and will include details of how the PCC will manage any instances where the outcomes are not being achieved.

Strategic Priorities

Theme: Reducing Offending and Reoffending

- 1. Preventing and diverting young people from offending
- 2. Reducing reoffending amongst young people and adults
- 3. Reducing alcohol and drug related offending and reoffending
- 4. Reducing crime and anti-social behaviour (ASB) caused by families in a Troubled/Supporting Families programme

Theme: Supporting Victims and Witnesses

- 5. To increase reporting of domestic abuse and ensure a positive outcome for victims and witnesses of domestic abuse
- 6. To increase reporting of serious sexual offences and ensure a positive outcome for victims and witnesses of serious sexual offences
- 7. To increase reporting of hate crimes and ensure a positive outcome for victims and witnesses of hate crime offences
- 8. To prevent ASB and to continuously improve the quality of service and response to victims of anti-social behaviour
- 9. To continually improve the quality of service and response to victims of crime

Theme: Making Communities and Neighbourhoods Safer

- 10. To continuously improve the police service to the communities of Leicester, Leicestershire and Rutland
- 11. To reduce all crime
- 12. To reduce domestic burglary and ensure a positive outcome for victims of burglary offences
- 13. To reduce violence against the person with injury and ensure a positive outcome for victims of violent crime with injury offences
- 14. To reduce vehicle crime and ensure a positive outcome for victims

Theme: Protecting the Vulnerable

- 15. To prevent child abuse and child sexual exploitation (CSE) and provide a safe and supportive environment for victims and witnesses
- 16. Improving the response, service and outcomes for those with mental health needs
- 17. To reduce the number of repeat missing person reports

~
I
6
$\mathbf{\Sigma}$
2
ia
5
¥
S
nt
4
Ĕ
ţ
5
듣
Ε
Ö
O
0
.⊑
놨
×
ш

EXISTING COMMITMENTS for 2016/17	Contract Name /Initiative and 16/17 Organisation with whom description value we have a contract	Specialist substance misuse£370,136Leicestershire Countyservices – Adults and YoungCouncilPeopleCouncil	IOM – Contribution towards £368,000 Leicestershire Police to Integrated Offender Reducing Re-offending Board	MAPPOM – Drugs testing, £216,405 Leicestershire Police Alcohol liaison Officer, Drugs Intelligence Officer for priority and prolific offenders	Police Officer Support to city £162,554 Leicestershire Police and county YOS	Youth Mentoring – Working £147,556 Twenty-Twenty with those at risk of entering the criminal justice system	Adult Substance Misuse for £98,750 Leicester City Council sub-region – Contribution to substance misuse treatment services	Think Family – A contribution £89,250 Leicester City Council to the Priority Family approach taken by Leicester City Council	Leicester City YOS – £84,446 Leicester City Council Contribution to the Youth Offending Service in Leicester City	Supporting Leicestershire Families – A contribution to the Priority Family approach taken by Leicestershire County
tor 2016/17	framework P&CP Classification Strategic priority	Co-com SP3	Co-com SP2	Direct SP3	Direct SP1	Direct SP1	Co-com SP3	Direct SP4	Direct SP1	Direct SP4
	rry Secondary P&CP sgic Strategic ty priority	SP2	SP4	SP2	SP2	SP2	SP2	SP2	SP2	SP2
	Geographical reach	Leicestershire County and Leicester (not Rutland)	LLR	LLR	LLR	LLR	LLR	Leicester City	Leicester City	Leicestershire County

4	_	=	•
٦	_	-	

Strategic Theme	Contract Name /Initiative and description	16/17 value	Organisation with whom we have a contract	Commissioning framework classification	Primary P&CP Strategic priority	Secondary P&CP Strategic priority	Geographical reach
Reducing Offending and Re-offending	Leicestershire and Rutland YOS – Contribution to the Youth Offending Service in Leicestershire and Rutland	£77,934	Leicestershire and Rutland Youth Offending Service	Direct	SP1	SP2	Leicestershire County and Rutland (not city)
Reducing Offending and Re-offending	Youth prevention and diversion –City – Targeted diversionary youth activities working with either young offenders or those likely to offend	£72,150	£72,150 Leicester City Council	Direct	SP1	SP2	Leicester City
Reducing Offending and Re-offending	Youth prevention and diversion-County – Targeted diversionary youth activities working with either young offenders or those likely to offend	£63,825	Leicester County Council Early Help Services	Co-com	SP1	SP2	Leicestershire County
Reducing Offending and Re-offending	Adult Mentoring – Working with offenders to help them to move towards and maintain positive behaviours and attitudes	£50,000	Derbys, Leics, Notts and Rutland Community Rehabilitation Company	Direct	SP2	SP3	LLR
Reducing Offending and Re-offending	Multi Agency Public Protection Arrangements (MAPPA) – A contribution to the MAPPA and the work that it undertakes	£34,029	Police	Direct	SP2		LLR
Reducing Offending and Re-offending	Anchor Centre – Contribution towards the Anchor centre where vulnerable street drinkers are able to receive support	£34,000	Leicester City Council	Co-com	SP3	SP2	Leicester City
Reducing Offending and Re-offending	Young Persons Substance misuse – City – Substance misuse treatment service for young offenders	£13,000	Leicester City Council	Co-com	SP3	SP2	Leicester City

t

Strategic Theme	Contract Name /Initiative and description	16/17 value	Organisation with whom we have a contract	Commissioning framework classification	Primary P&CP Strategic priority	Secondary P&CP Strategic priority	Geographical reach
Reducing Offending and Re-offending	Young Persons Substance misuse – County – Substance misuse treatment service for young offenders	£12,000	Leicestershire County Council (L&R YOS)	Direct	SP3	SP2	Leicestershire County and Rutland (not city)
Reducing Offending and Re-offending	Young Adult Project – Identifying and implementing a series of system-wide recommendations focussed on improving outcomes for young (16-24 yr old) offenders	£10,000	OPCC	Co-com	SP2	SP.	LLR
Reducing Offending and Re-offending	Changing Lives-Rutland A contribution to the Priority Family approach taken by Rutland County Council	£7,000	Rutland County Council	Direct	SP4	SP2	Rutland
Reducing Offending and Re-offending	Youth prevention and diversion-Rutland – Targeted diversionary youth activities working with either young offenders or those likely to offend	£3,700	Rutland CC Places Directorate	Direct	SP.	SP2	Rutland
Reducing Offending and Re-offending	Substance misuse add on to PLF – Provision for substance misuse interventions in Rutland	£1114	Rutland CC	Direct	SP3	SP2	Rutland
Reducing Offending and Re-offending Subtotal		£1,994,599		×	9. [
Supporting Victims and Witnesses	Victim First – Victim support and advocacy service (including helpline and face to face support)	£634,384	Catch 22	Direct	SP9		LLR

Primary Secondary Geographical reach P&CP P&CP Strategic Strategic priority	5/6 SP9 LLR	S SP9 LLR	S SP9 LLR	Sub - Leicestershire County	Sub-Leicester City	Sub - Leicestershire County	SP1 Sub-Leicester City
Commissioning Primal framework P&CP classification Strate	Co-com SP5/6	Direct SP8	Co-com SP6	PCC Grant SP8	PCC Grant SP8	PCC Grant SP8	PCC Grant SP8
Organisation with whom we have a contract	Partnership agreement with Leicester City Council	24/7 Locks	Leicestershire Police	£34,735 Go-Getta CIC	Community Projects Plus	Go-Getta CIC	Pedestrian Limited
16/17 value	£268,877	280,000	906'293	£34,735	£23,800	£21,585	£17,612
Contract Name /Initiative and description	SV/DV - Sexual and Domestic Violence Information and Support Service (including helpline and IDVA/ISVA provision	Target Hardening – Increasing the security of the homes of victims of crime.	SARC – Sexual Abuse Referral Centre for victims of sexual abuse	Makes Moves – Charnwood – Youth Café and street based youth work to reduce youth related ASB in the area.	Street Sport – Targeted sports sessions to reduce youth related ASB in New Parks, Braunstone Park and Rowley Fields, Abbey and Spinney Hills beats	Make Moves – Loughborough Youth Centre and street based youth work to reduce youth related ASB in the area.	Changing Tracks – Early intervention working with young people and their families (accessed through schools) to prevent ASB in Braunstone Park and Rowley Fields, Abbey
Strategic Theme	Supporting Victims and Witnesses	Supporting Victims and Witnesses	Supporting Victims and Witnesses	Supporting Victims and Witnesses	Supporting Victims and Witnesses	Supporting Victims and Witnesses	Supporting Victims and Witnesses

Strategic Theme	Contract Name /Initiative and description	16/1 <i>7</i> value	Organisation with whom we have a contract	Commissioning framework classification	Primary P&CP Strategic priority	Secondary P&CP Strategic priority	Geographical reach
Supporting Victims and Witnesses	Pay it Forward – Early intervention working with young people to prevent ASB in the Loughborough East beat	£15,835	Pedestrian Limited	PCC Grant	SP8	SP1	Sub - Leicestershire County
Supporting Victims and Witnesses	Sentinel – Contribution towards the Anti-Social Behaviour case work system that sits across LLR	£10,000	Leicestershire Police for Sentinel	Direct	SP8	SP4	LLR
Supporting Victims and Witnesses	Hardship fund – bespoke fund available to victims via Victim First which will help to mitigate the impact of crime on victims	£10,000	£10,000 Catch 22	Direct	SP9	SP12	LLR
Supporting Victims and Witnesses Subtotal		£1,184,734	1				
Making Communities & Neighbourhoods Safer	Crime stoppers National Hub A contribution to the national service for the confidential reporting of information in relation to crimes	£26,190	£26,190 Crime stoppers National Hub	Direct	SP10	SP11	Nationwide
Making Communities & Neighbourhoods Safer	Domestic Homicide Reviews – City – A contribution to enable through cross partnership reviews to be undertaken which ensure that lessons are learnt from domestic homicides either in or with a link to Leicester	£16,000	Leicester City Council	Direct	SP11		Leicester City

Strategic Theme	Contract Name /Initiative and description	16/17 value	Organisation with whom	Commissioning	Primary	Secondary	Geographical reach
				classification	Strategic	Strategic	
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Domestic Homicide Reviews – County/Rutland - A Contribution to enable thorough cross partnership reviews to be undertaken which ensure that lessons are learnt from domestic homicides either in or with a link to Leicestershire/Rutland	£16,000	£16,000 Leicestershire County Council	Direct	SP11		Leicestershire County and Rutland (not city)
> 0 ≥ 0 g g	Youth Commission – Co- ordinating and supporting the work of the youth commission to challenge and quality assure the police from a young person's perspective	£15,000	oPcc	Direct	SP10	SP11	LLR
コロエのエ	Local Resilience Forum – Contribution to the LRF which helps to co-ordinate partner agencies during critical incidents	£6,536	Leicestershire County Council	Direct	SP10		LLR
> 0 = 0	VCS infrastructure support— City – supporting voluntary and community sector organisations in engaging with the commissioning process	£5,000	Leicester City Council	Со-сош	SP11		Leicester City
		£84,726				9	1
OMODI	Children's Safeguarding Board – County – A contribution to the safeguarding board and the work that it undertakes	£43,945	Leicestershire County Council	Direct	SP15	SP17	Leicestershire County and Rutland (not city)

ĕ

Geographical reach	Leicester City	LLR	LLR	Leicester City	Leicestershire County and Rutland (excluding Leicester City)	LLR
Secondary P&CP Strategic priority	SP17	SP17		SP17	SP17	SP9
Primary P&CP Strategic priority	SP15	SP15	SP16	SP15	SP15	SP16
Commissioning framework classification	Direct	Direct	Direct	Direct	Direct	Direct
Organisation with whom we have a contract	£43,945 Leicester City Council	Leicester City Council	OPCC	Leicester City Council	£7,970 Leicestershire County Council	ABC
16/17 value	£43,945	£41,000	£40,000	£8,500	026,73	£20,564
Contract Name /Initiative and description	Children's Safeguarding Board – City – A contribution to the safeguarding board and the work that it undertakes	CSE Return Interview post – Interviewing and providing initial support to return runaways from Children's residential homes in order to identify and mitigate risks to these young people	Contribution to the Mental Health Partnership Development Manager Post – Co-ordinating partnership working in relation to mental health and Leicestershire police	Adults Safeguarding Board – City – A contribution to the safeguarding board and the work that it undertakes	Adults Safeguarding Board County and Rutland - A contribution to the safeguarding board and the work that it undertakes	Clinical Mental Health Nurse in Victim First – provision ensuring that victims and witnesses of crime who have had their mental wellbeing significantly impacted upon by offences will be supported and referred to appropriate care pathways
Strategic Theme	Protecting the Vulnerable	Protecting the Vulnerable	Protecting the Vulnerable	Protecting the Vulnerable	Protecting the Vulnerable	Protecting the Vulnerable

Strategic Theme	Contract Name /Initiative and description	16/17 value	Organisation with whom we have a contract	Commissioning framework classification	Primary P&CP Strategic priority	Secondary P&CP Strategic priority	Geographical reach
Protecting the Vulnerable Subtotal	¥	£205,924	1	9	Ŋ	1	1
Various (PLF)	Leicester City PLF – Contribution towards delivering the areas' community safety plan	£215,100	Leicester City Council	PLF	Various	Various	City
Various (PLF)	Charnwood PLF – Contribution towards delivering the areas' community safety plan	£67,950	Charnwood Borough Council	PLF	Various	Various	Charnwood
Various (PLF)	Hinckley and Bosworth PLF – Contribution towards delivering the areas' community safety plan	£36,000	Hinckley and Bosworth Borough Council	PLF	Various	Various	Hinckley and Bosworth
Various (PLF)	North West (NW) Leicestershire – Contribution towards delivering the areas' community safety plan	£31,950	NW Leicester District Council	PLF	Various	Various	North West Leicestershire
Various (PLF)	Blaby PLF – Contribution towards delivering the areas' community safety plan	£29,700	Blaby District Council	PLF	Various	Various	Blaby
Various (PLF)	Harborough PLF – Contribution towards delivering the areas' community safety plan	£23,850	Harborough District Council	PLF	Various	Various	Harborough
Various (PLF)	Oadby and Wigston PLF – Contribution towards delivering the areas' community safety plan	£19,800	Oadby and Wigston Borough Council	PLF	Various	Various	Oadby and Wigston
Various (PLF)	Melton PLF – Contribution towards delivering the areas' community safety plan	£15,750	Melton District Council	PLF	Various	Various	Melton
Various (PLF)	Rutland PLF – Contribution towards delivering the areas' community safety plan	£9,900	Rutland County Council	PLF	Various	Various	Rutland

Strategic Theme	Contract Name /Initiative and description	16/17 value	Organisation with whom we have a contract	Commissioning framework classification	Primary P&CP Strategic priority	Secondary P&CP Strategic priority	Geographical reach
Various (PLF) Subtotal	ı	£450,000	*			3	1
Grand Total		£3,919,983					

Appendix C

2016/17 additional allocations

		2010/17 AUGINORIAI AILOCARIORIS	212
Name/description of provision	16/17 cost	117 dost Need for service Identified	Linkages to PCP
Project 360 (DAST) – A partnership approach to repeat medium – low risk DV cases that reduces repeat incidences.	£292,000	Previous commissioning process and now backed up by academic assessment	Previous commissioning process Supporting Victims and Witnesses and now backed up by academic and ensuring a positive outcome for victims
Tags for IOM cohort — Voluntary lagging of IOM cohort	£40,000	Reducing Re-offending Board/ Police – joint funded by force	Reducing offending and Reoffending Reducing reoffending amongst YP and adults
Total	£332,000		*

NB. These initiatives have already been funded in 2015/16 and will be funded in 2016/17 as a result of the outcomes of the consultation.

The forecasted balance of £0.359m will be held as a Commissioning Reserve and used to cover any remaining eventualities under the current PCC and allow for flexibility in funding decisions for 2016/17 for the incoming PCC.

Appendix D

Glossary

ASB Anti-Social Behaviour

CSE Child Sexual Exploitation

CSP Community Safety Partnership

CYP Children and young people

DAST Domestic Abuse Support Team

IDVA Independent Domestic Violence Advocate/ Advisor

IOM Integrated Offender Management

ISVA Independent Sexual Violence Advocate/ Advisor

Locality Blaby District, Charnwood Borough, Harborough District,

Hinckley and Bosworth Borough, Leicester City, Melton

Borough, North West Leicestershire District, Oadby and Wigston

Borough or Rutland County

LLR Leicester, Leicestershire and Rutland

LRF Local Resilience Forum

MAPPA Multi Agency Public Protection Arrangements

MAPPOM Multi Agency Prolific and other Priority Offender Management

OPCC Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner

PCC Police and Crime Commissioner

P&CP Police and Crime Plan

PLF Partnership Locality Fund

Regional East Midlands which includes Derbyshire, Leicestershire,

Lincolnshire, Northamptonshire and Nottinghamshire

SP (1-17) Strategic Priorities of the Police and Crime Plan

SV/DV Sexual violence/domestic violence

VCS Voluntary and Community Sector

YP Young Person

YOS Youth Offending Service

Equality Impact Assessment Form

Before completing this form please refer to the <u>EIA Guidance</u> For further advice and assistance please contact the Equality Unit.

Name of the plan	Commissioning Framework 2015- 17 – 16/17 Refresh
Owner of the plan	Simon Down
Person completing the EIA	Simon Down
Date EIA completed	02/11/15

What is the aim of this plan?							
The aim of the Commissioning F	ramev	work 2015-17 - 16/17 Refresh is to)				
direct the spend of the commiss	ioning	budget for the remainder of 2015/	16				
and 2016/17. The plan is mainly in relation to unallocated resource and how							
this can be used to help meet the requirements of the Police and Crime Plan.							
As such, impacts should be largely positive.							
Which of the protected characteristics is the plan likely to impact upon?							
Age	\boxtimes	Religion or Belief					
Disability		Sex	\boxtimes				
Gender Reassignment		Sexual Orientation					
Pregnancy and Maternity		All protected characteristics					
Race		None					

Step 1:	What data or statistical information or evidence based research have been used to identify how this plan might
Collecting the	affect equality?
data	The key data which has been analysed in relation to these decisions is the financial spend on initiatives which may serve specific groups. In addition, the specifications of services (where they already exist) have been considered and IOM cohort data has been analysed to help identify effected groups. What gaps in the information or research have been identified?
	The equality aspects (and any observed skewing from an equalities perspective) of otherwise defined groups (i.e people with a mental health problem, victims of crime) have not been assessed against the overall population of LLR. However, as the impacts on these areas is positive it is felt that there is a lesser imperative for this level of detail.
Step 2:	Describe any adverse or positive impact of the plan on any of the equality groups.

Assessing likely impacts

- To not commit to run a PCC grants process for 2016/17 delivery will mean that fewer PCC grant services will be procured. The PCC grant has historically largely been targeted towards youth prevention/diversion activity so this will mean that there will be a reduction in the availability of funding for this group. The previous round of PCC grant funding allowed groups to bid for up to two years and these commitments will be honoured (pending satisfactory performance in year one). This means that this decision will not mean the cessation of any services but rather a lack of an opportunity which had previously been proposed, not being made available. This may have a negative impact on young people in that there will be fewer diversionary opportunities which may lead to increased levels of crime from this cohort, although funding was specifically increased to these areas in the City, County and Rutland in 15/16. However, wider developments within the OPCC (such as the CSE work through the Strategic Partnership Development Fund) are to the benefit of young people so this negative impact should be nullified by other provision.
- Additionally, the Partnership Locality Fund comments have been incorporated into the Review and PLF partners could seek to identify and request funding for areas they perceive as gaps in their locality and potentially could include Youth Funding.
- Project 360 (DAST) provision for DV cases will mainly be provided to women which will lead to a positive impact for women who will be better protected from further domestic abuse.
- Voluntary tagging for the IOM cohort will have a positive impact for young (18-25yr old) males as they are overrepresented within the IOM cohort. The voluntary tagging scheme will better enable those volunteering to have the tag to resist offending behaviour.

What actions can be taken to mitigate any adverse impact

The new PCC should consider making further funding awards to CSPs and grants provision available within 16/17. This will be raised with the new PCC once in office.

Step 3: Describe who has been consulted and how this has had influenced the assessment

Consulting	An open consultation has been held on the refreshed Commissioning Framework. No concerns were raised in relation to equalities but concerns were expressed in relation to a proposal to only provide 6 months of Partnership Locality Funding (PLF) to Community Safety Partnerships (CSPs). As a result of consultation responses we have decided to provide a full years funding.
------------	---

Step 4:	Which of the following decisions has been taken?
	Please select one of the following options
Decision making	1. Plan to remain unchanged
	2. Plan to be amended
	3. Stop and remove the Plan

NB. Where any further actions have been identified an $\underline{\sf EIA}$ $\underline{\sf Action}$ $\underline{\sf Plan}$ will need to be completed.

Step 5:	Please select one of the following publishing options for the EIA
Publishing	Secret
	Confidential
	Restricted
	Not Protectively Marked

Step 6:	Date of next review 2016/17					
	Please provide details of all reviews completed (including					
Reviewing	date, person completing review and any changes made					
	as a result of the review)					
	During 2016/17, subject to the priorities of the incoming					
	PCC, it is anticipated that a new Police and Crime Plan					
	and aligned commissioning framework will be produced					
	where the equality impact will be assessed.					



Police and Crime Commissioner Commissioning Framework 2015-17 refresh for 2016/17 **NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED**

Table 1.

Reducing Offending and Re-offending	Reducing Offending and Re-offending	Reducing Offending and Re-offending	Reducing Offending and Re-offending	Reducing Offending and Re-offending	Reducing Offending and Re-offending	Reducing Offending and Re-offending	Offending and Re-offending	Reducing Offending and Re-offending	Strategic Theme
Supporting Leicestershire Families – A contribution to the Priority Family approach taken by Leicestershire County Council	Leicester City YOS – Contribution to the Youth Offending Service in Leicester City	Think Family – A contribution to the Priority Family approach taken by Leicester City Council	Adult Substance Misuse for sub-region - Contribution to substance misuse treatment services	Youth Mentoring – Working with those at risk of entering the criminal justice system	Police Officer Support to city and county YOS	MAPPOM – Drugs testing, Alcohol liaison Officer, Drugs Intelligence Officer for priority and prolific offenders	IOM – Contribution towards Integrated Offender management	Specialist substance misuse services – Adults and Young People	Contract Name /Initiative and description
£78,750	£84,446	£89,250	£98,750	£147,556	£162,554	£216,405	£368,000	£370,136	16/17 value
Leicestershire County Council	Leicester City Council	Leicester City Council	Leicester City Council	Twenty-Twenty	Leicestershire Police	Leicestershire Police	Leicestershire Police to Reducing Re-offending Board	Leicestershire County Council and Leicester City Council	Organisation with whom we have a contract
Direct	Direct	Direct	Co-com	Direct	Direct	Direct	Co-com	Co-com	Commissioning framework classification
SP4	SP1	SP4	SP3	SP1	SP1	SP3	SP2	SP3	Primary P&CP Strategic priority
SP2	SP2	SP2	SP2	SP2	SP2	SP2	SP4	SP2	Secondary P&CP Strategic priority
Leicestershire County	Leicester City	Leicester City	LLR	ER	ER	LLR	LLR	Leicestershire County and Leicester (not Rutland)	Geographical reach

Strategic Theme	Contract Name (Initiative and	46/47	NOI FROI ECITIVELT INJANCED	Commissioning	Colon man		2
	description	vaiue	we have a contract	framework classification	P&CP Strategic priority	Secondary P&CP Strategic priority	Geographical reach
Reducing Offending and Re-offending	Leicestershire and Rutland YOS – Contribution to the Youth Offending Service in Leicestershire and Rutland	£77,934	Leicestershire and Rutland Youth Offending Service	Direct	SP1	SP2	Leicestershire County and Rutland (not city)
Reducing Offending and Re-offending	Youth prevention and diversion –City – Targeted diversionary youth activities working with either young offenders or those likely to offend	£72,150	£72,150 Leicester City Council	Direct	SP1	SP2	Leicester City
Reducing Offending and Re-offending	Youth prevention and diversion-County – Targeted diversionary youth activities working with either young offenders or those likely to offend	£63,825	Leicester County Council Early Help Services	Co-com	PS	SP2	Leicestershire County
Reducing Offending and Re-offending	Adult Mentoring – Working with offenders to help them to move towards and maintain positive behaviours and attitudes	£50,000	Derbys, Leics, Notts and Rutland Community Rehabilitation Company	Direct	SP2	SP3	LLR
Reducing Offending and Re-offending	Tags for IOM cohort – Voluntary tagging of IOM cohort as a preventative measure to reduce reoffending amongst this group	£40,000	Police	Direct	SP2		LLR
Reducing Offending and Re-offending	Multi Agency Public Protection Arrangements (MAPPA) – A contribution to the MAPPA and the work that it undertakes	£34,029	Police	Direct	SP2		LLR
Reducing Offending and Re-offending	Anchor Centre – Contribution towards the Anchor centre where vulnerable street drinkers are able to receive support	£34,000	Leicester City Council	Co-com	SP3	SP2	Leicester City

Supporting Victims and Witnesses	Reducing Offending and Re-offending Subtotal	Reducing Offending and Re-offending	Reducing Offending and Re-offending	Reducing Offending and Re-offending	Reducing Offending and Re-offending	Reducing Offending and Re-offending	Reducing Offending and Re-offending	Strategic Theme
Victim First – Victim support and advocacy service (including helpline and face to face support)		Substance misuse add on to PLF – Provision for substance misuse interventions in Rutland	Youth prevention and diversion-Rutland – Targeted diversionary youth activities working with either young offenders or those likely to offend	Changing Lives-Rutland – A contribution to the Priority Family approach taken by Rutland County Council	Young Adult Project – Identifying and implementing a series of system-wide recommendations focussed on improving outcomes for young (16-24 yr old) offenders	Young Persons Substance misuse - County - Substance misuse treatment service for young offenders	Young Persons Substance misuse - City - Substance misuse treatment service for young offenders	Contract Name /Initiative and description
£634,384	£2,034,599	£1114	£3,700	£7,000	£10,000 OPCC	£12,000	£13,000	16/17 value
Catch 22	*!	Rutland CC	Rutland CC Places Directorate	Rutland County Council	ОРСС	Leicestershire County Council (L&R YOS)	Leicester City Council	Organisation with whom we have a contract
Direct	t	Direct	Direct	Direct	Co-com	Direct	Co-com	Commissioning framework classification
SP9	•	SP3	SP1	SP4	SP2	SP3	SP3	Primary P&CP Strategic priority
	6	SP2	SP2	SP2	SP1	SP2	SP2	Secondary P&CP Strategic priority
LLR		Rutland	Rutland	Rutland	LLR	Leicestershire County and Rutland (not city)	Leicester City	Geographical reach

The same of the sa		- 1	NOI FROIECIIVELI INIANNED	NED		j.	
Strategic Ineme	Contract Name /initiative and description	16/17 value	Organisation with whom we have a contract	Commissioning framework classification	Primary P&CP Strategic priority	Secondary P&CP Strategic priority	Geographical reach
Supporting Victims and Witnesses	Project 360 (DAST) – A partnership approach to repeat medium – low risk DV cases that reduces repeat incidences.	£292,000	TBC via competitive tender process	Direct	SP5	SP9	LLR
Supporting Victims and Witnesses	SV/DV - Sexual and Domestic Violence Information and Support Service (including helpline and IDVA/ISVA provision	£268,877	Partnership agreement with Leicester City Council	Со-сош	SP5/6	SP9	LLR
Supporting Victims and Witnesses	Target Hardening – Increasing the security of the homes of victims of crime.	£80,000	24/7 Locks	Direct	SP8	SP9	LLR
Supporting Victims and Witnesses	SARC – Sexual Abuse Referral Centre for victims of sexual abuse	506,753	£67,906 Leicestershire Police	Co-com	SP6	SP9	LLR
Supporting Victims and Witnesses	Makes Moves – Charnwood – Youth Café and street based youth work to reduce youth related ASB in the area.	£34,735	Go-Getta CIC	PCC Grant	SP8	SP1	Sub - Leicestershire County
Supporting Victims and Witnesses	Street Sport – Targeted sports sessions to reduce youth related ASB in New Parks, Braunstone Park and Rowley Fields, Abbey and Spinney Hills beats	£23,800	Community Projects Plus	PCC Grant	SP8	SP1	Sub-Leicester City
Supporting Victims and Witnesses	Make Moves – Loughborough – Youth Centre and street based youth work to reduce youth related ASB in the area.	£21,585	Go-Getta CIC	PCC Grant	SP8	SP1	Sub - Leicestershire County
Supporting Victims and Witnesses	Changing Tracks – Early intervention working with young people and their families (accessed through schools) to prevent ASB in Braunstone Park and Rowley Fields, Abbey and Beaumont Leys beats	£17,612	Pedestrian Limited	PCC Grant	SP8	SP1	Sub-Leicester City

Making Communities & Neighbourhoods Safer	Making Communities & Neighbourhoods Safer	Supporting Victims and Witnesses Subtotal	Supporting Victims and Witnesses	Supporting Victims and Witnesses	Supporting Victims and Witnesses	Strategic Theme
City – A contribution to enable through cross partnership reviews to be undertaken which ensure that lessons are learnt from domestic homicides either in or with a link to Leicester	Crime stoppers National Hub A contribution to the national service for the confidential reporting of information in relation to crimes		Hardship fund – bespoke fund available to victims via Victim First which will help to mitigate the impact of crime on victims	Sentinel - Contribution towards the Anti-Social Behaviour case work system that sits across LLR	Pay it Forward – Early intervention working with young people to prevent ASB in the Loughborough East beat	Contract Name /Initiative and description
£16,000	£26,190	£1,476,734	£10,000	£10,000	£15,835	16/17 value
Leicester City Council	Crime stoppers National Hub		Catch 22	Leicestershire Police for Sentinel	Pedestrian Limited	Organisation with whom we have a contract
Direct	Direct		Direct	Direct	PCC Grant	Commissioning framework classification
SP11	SP10		SP9	SP8	SP8	Primary P&CP Strategic priority
	SP11	1	SP12	SP4	SP1	Secondary P&CP Strategic priority
Leicester City	Nationwide	/4	ER	FR	Sub - Leicestershire County	Geographical reach

		ш	NOT FROTECTIVELT INJANCED	יבט			
Strategic Theme	Contract Name /Initiative and description	value	Organisation with whom we have a contract	Commissioning framework classification	Primary P&CP Strategic priority	Secondary P&CP Strategic priority	Geographical reach
Making Communities & Neighbourhoods Safer	Domestic Homicide Reviews – County/Rutland - A contribution to enable thorough cross partnership reviews to be undertaken which ensure that lessons are learnt from domestic homicides either in or with a link to Leicestershire/Rutland	£16,000	Leicestershire County Council	Direct	SP11		Leicestershire County and Rutland (not city)
Making Communities & Neighbourhoods Safer	Youth Commission – Co- ordinating and supporting the work of the youth commission to challenge and quality assure the police from a young person's perspective	£15,000	OPCC	Direct	SP10	SP11	LLR
Making Communities & Neighbourhoods Safer	Local Resilience Forum – Contribution to the LRF which helps to co-ordinate partner agencies during critical incidents	£6,536	Leicestershire County Council	Direct	SP10		LLR
Making Communities & Neighbourhoods Safer	VCS infrastructure support— City — supporting voluntary and community sector organisations in engaging with the commissioning process	£5,000	Leicester City Council	Co-com	SP11		Leicester City
Making Communities & Neighbourhoods Safer Subtotal		£84,726		t			
Protecting the Vulnerable	Children's Safeguarding Board County A contribution to the safeguarding board and the work that it undertakes	£43,945	Leicestershire County Council	Direct	SP15	SP17	Leicestershire County and Rutland (not city)

Vulnerable in Vi ensu witne had t signit	Vulnerable Court board unde		Vulnerable Conf Vulnerable Deve Co-o work	Vulnerable Intensupp Child order		Strategic Theme Cont
clinical Mental Health Nurse in Victim First – provision ensuring that victims and witnesses of crime who have had their mental wellbeing significantly impacted upon by offences will be supported and referred to appropriate care	Adults Safeguarding Board – County and Rutland – A contribution to the safeguarding board and the work that it undertakes	Adults Safeguarding Board – City – A contribution to the safeguarding board and the work that it undertakes	Contribution to the Mental Health Partnership Development Manager Post – Co-ordinating partnership working in relation to mental health and Leicestershire police	CSE Return Interview post – Interviewing and providing initial support to return runaways from Children's residential homes in order to identify and mitigate risks to these young people	Children's Safeguarding Board – City – A contribution to the safeguarding board and the work that it undertakes	Contract Name /Initiative and description
£20,564	£7,970	£8,500	£40,000	£41,000	£43,945	16/17 value
TBC	Leicestershire County Council	Leicester City Council	OPCC	Leicester City Council	Leicester City Council	Organisation with whom we have a contract
Direct	Direct	Direct	Direct	Direct	Direct	Commissioning framework classification
SP16	SP15	SP15	SP16	SP15	SP15	Primary P&CP Strategic priority
SP9	SP17	SP17		SP17	SP17	Secondary P&CP Strategic priority
Ę	Leicestershire County and Rutland (excluding Leicester City)	Leicester City	ER	ER	Leicester City	Geographical reach

Discharte Thursday	Constant Married Married and Street, or and	40144	I NO LECTIVELI INGINE	YED THE STATE OF T	-		
or aregic meme	description	value	organisation with whom we have a contract	Commissioning framework classification	Primary P&CP Strategic priority	Secondary P&CP Strategic priority	Geographical reach
Protecting the Vulnerable Subtotal		£205,924	ı	*			3
Various	Leicester City PLF – Contribution towards delivering the areas' community safety plan	£215,100	Leicester City Council	PLF	Various	Various	City
Various	Charnwood PLF – Contribution towards delivering the areas' community safety plan	£67,950	Charnwood Borough Council	PLF	Various	Various	Charnwood
Various	Hinckley and Bosworth PLF— Contribution towards delivering the areas' community safety plan	£36,000	Hinckley and Bosworth Borough Council	PLF	Various	Various	Hinckley and Bosworth
Various	North West (NW) Leicestershire – Contribution towards delivering the areas' community safety plan	£31,950	NW Leicester District Council	1	Various	Various	North West Leicestershire
Various	Blaby PLF – Contribution towards delivering the areas' community safety plan	£29,700	Blaby District Council	PLF	Various	Various	Blaby
Various	Harborough PLF – Contribution towards delivering the areas' community safety plan	£23,850	Harborough District Council	PLF	Various	Various	Harborough
Various	Oadby and Wigston PLF – Contribution towards delivering the areas' community safety plan	£19,800	Oadby and Wigston Borough Council	PLF	Various	Various	Oadby and Wigston
Various	Melton PLF – Contribution towards delivering the areas' community safety plan	£15,750	Melton District Council	PLF	Various	Various	Melton
Various	Rutland PLF – Contribution towards delivering the areas' community safety plan	£9,900	Rutland County Council	PLF	Various	Various	Rutland
Various Subtotai	•	£450,000	•	Tr.	4	•	9

Grand Total	Strategic Theme
	Contract Name /Initiative and description
£4,251,983	value
	Organisation with whom we have a contract
	Commissioning framework classification
	Primary P&CP Strategic priority
	Secondary P&CP Strategic priority
	Geographical reach

