



**POLICE & CRIME
COMMISSIONER**
for Leicester,
Leicestershire & Rutland
Your Communities - Your Commissioner

Our ref: FOI 0001/26 – OPCC1012

Date: 27 January 2026

Name:

Email:

Dear

Freedom of Information Act 2000

I write further to your freedom of information request received on 2 January 2026. I note you seek access to the following information:

Business-intelligence, company-information and credit-reference products used by your organisation, as follows,

1. Products used since 1 January 2022

Please confirm whether your organisation currently uses, or has used at any point since 1 January 2022, any of the following products:

Endole

Beauhurst

Dun & Bradstreet (D&B)

Creditsafe

Experian Business

Equifax Business

TransUnion (UK Commercial Data & Credit Risk)

Company Check (Creditsafe group)

DueDil / FullCircl

Artesian

GlobalDatabase

Bureau van Dijk – Orbis / Fame (Moody's)

Plimsoll Analysis

Kompass

Crunchbase

PitchBook

S&P Capital IQ / Market Intelligence

Graydon UK



*Red Flag Alert
The Data City*

For each product used, please provide:

- a. Product name*
- b. Whether it is currently in use (Yes/No)*
- c. Main purpose(s) for which it is used*

2. Contract information

For each product identified above, please provide the following where held:

- a. Supplier / vendor name*
- b. Contract reference number*
- c. Contract start date*
- d. Contract end date*
- e. Next renewal or break date*
- f. Contract value (annual or total)*
- g. Procurement route used (e.g., CCS framework name and lot, tender, direct award)*

If any commercial information is withheld under Section 43, please still provide the non-exempt data, including start date, end date, supplier name, and procurement route.

3. Internal ownership

For each product currently in use, please provide:

- a. Job title (not the name) of the person responsible for the product*
- b. The department or team that manages it*

4. Past products no longer used

Where a product listed above was used after 1 January 2022 but is no longer in use, please provide:

- a. Product name*
- b. Contract start date*
- c. Contract end date*
- d. Contract value (annual or total)*

Your request for information has now been considered, and the response can be found below:

Please provide the following information:

1. Products used since 1 January 2022



Please confirm whether your organisation currently uses, or has used at any point since 1 January 2022, any of the following products:

Endole – No record
Beauhurst – No record
Dun & Bradstreet (D&B) – No record
Creditsafe – No record
Experian Business – **Yes**
Equifax Business - **CONT0251**
TransUnion (UK Commercial Data & Credit Risk) – No record
Company Check (Creditsafe group) – No record
DueDil / FullCircl – No record
Artesian – No record
GlobalDatabase – No record
Bureau van Dijk – Orbis / Fame (Moody's) – No record
Plimsoll Analysis – No record
Kompass – No record
Crunchbase – No record
PitchBook – No record
S&P Capital IQ / Market Intelligence – No record
Graydon UK – No record
Red Flag Alert – No record
The Data City – No record

For each product used, please provide:

a. Product name

Experian – Unable to disclose

Equifax – Unable to disclose

Section 43 – Commercial Interests

As a qualified and class-based exemption, legislators have already accepted the harm in the release of this class of information. As such, there is no requirement for me to apply the harm test. However, as this exemption is also qualified, I am obliged to apply the public interest test.

Public Interest Test

Factors favouring disclosure:



Providing the information request would allow the public to discuss the way in which the Office of Police and Crime Commissioner spend public funds, which would improve accountability. Further, this information would allow informed public debate regarding the spending of public funds of such contracts.

Factors favouring non-disclosure:

Where third party interests might be jeopardised by release of information that relates to personal affairs of individuals and/or sensitive commercial information held about business, financial, contractual or operational issues. In this case, the commercial interests of the companies involved and indeed, the police service may be compromised by the release of detailed information about the contract tenders submitted. By providing detailed information about the tenders that were submitted for these specific contracts, it may be possible that a supplier may be disadvantaged commercially if sensitive competitive information is inadvertently provided to competitors.

It is of my opinion that based on the above the balance lies in non-disclosure of this detailed information.

b. Whether it is currently in use (Yes/No)

Experian – No

Equifax - No

c. Main purpose(s) for which it is used.

Experian – Unable to disclose.

Equifax – Unable to disclose.

Section 43 – Commercial Interests

As a qualified and class-based exemption, legislators have already accepted the harm in the release of this class of information. As such, there is no requirement for me to apply the harm test. However, as this exemption is also qualified, I am obliged to apply the public interest test.

Public Interest Test

Factors favouring disclosure:

Providing the information request would allow the public to discuss the way in which the Office of Police and Crime Commissioner spend public funds, which would improve accountability. Further, this information would allow informed public debate regarding the spending of public funds of such contracts.



Factors favouring non-disclosure:

Where third party interests might be jeopardised by release of information that relates to personal affairs of individuals and/or sensitive commercial information held about business, financial, contractual or operational issues. In this case, the commercial interests of the companies involved and indeed, the police service may be compromised by the release of detailed information about the contract tenders submitted. By providing detailed information about the tenders that were submitted for these specific contracts, it may be possible that a supplier may be disadvantaged commercially if sensitive competitive information is inadvertently provided to competitors.

It is of my opinion that based on the above the balance lies in non-disclosure of this detailed information.

2. Contract information

For each product identified above, please provide the following where held:

- a. Supplier / vendor name – **Experian**
- b. Contract reference number – **CONT0236**
- c. Contract start date – **01/04/2023**
- d. Contract end date – **30/09/2023**
- e. Next renewal or break date – **30/03/2024**
- f. Contract value (annual or total) – total = **£49,200**
- g. Procurement route used (e.g., CCS framework name and lot, tender, direct award) – **CCS – Gcloud 13**

- a. Supplier / vendor name – **Experian**
- b. Contract reference number – **CONT0230**
- c. Contract start date – **01/04/2023**
- d. Contract end date – **30/09/2023**
- e. Next renewal or break date – **30/03/2024**
- f. Contract value (annual or total) – total = **£91,500**
- g. Procurement route used (e.g., CCS framework name and lot, tender, direct award) – **CCS – Gcloud 13**

- a. Supplier / vendor name – **Equifax**
- b. Contract reference number – **CONT0251**
- c. Contract start date – **01/10/2023**
- d. Contract end date – **30/09/2024**
- e. Next renewal or break date – **30/09/2024**
- f. Contract value (annual or total) – total = **£16,000**



g. Procurement route used (e.g., CCS framework name and lot, tender, direct award) – **CCS – Gcloud 13**

If any commercial information is withheld under Section 43, please still provide the non-exempt data, including start date, end date, supplier name, and procurement route.

3. Internal ownership

For each product currently in use, please provide: **None in current use**

- a. Job title (not the name) of the person responsible for the product
- b. The department or team that manages it

4. Past products no longer used - None in current use

Where the product listed above was used after 1 January 2022 but is no longer in use, please provide:

- a. Product name – **Unable to disclose.**

Section 43 – Commercial Interests

As a qualified and class-based exemption, legislators have already accepted the harm in the release of this class of information. As such, there is no requirement for me to apply the harm test. However, as this exemption is also qualified, I am obliged to apply the public interest test.

Public Interest Test

Factors favouring disclosure:

Providing the information request would allow the public to discuss the way in which the Office of Police and Crime Commissioner spend public funds, which would improve accountability. Further, this information would allow informed public debate regarding the spending of public funds of such contracts.

Factors favouring non-disclosure:

Where third party interests might be jeopardised by release of information that relates to personal affairs of individuals and/or sensitive commercial information held about business, financial, contractual or operational issues. In this case, the commercial interests of the companies involved and indeed, the police service may be compromised by the release of detailed information about the contract tenders submitted. By providing detailed information about the tenders that were



submitted for these specific contracts, it may be possible that a supplier may be disadvantaged commercially if sensitive competitive information is inadvertently provided to competitors.

It is of my opinion that based on the above the balance lies in non-disclosure of this detailed information.

- b. Contract start date – **Provided above**
- c. Contract end date – **Provided above.**
- d. Contract value (annual or total) – **Provided above.**

If you are not satisfied with our response to your request, under Section 17 of the FOIA you are entitled to ask for an internal review of our decision. Any internal review needs to be submitted within two months of the date of receipt of this response and state why you are unhappy with the response. You can submit an internal review in the following ways:

- Emailing the OPCC Inbox to OPCC@leics.police.uk. or
- Writing to the OPCC at – The Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner for Leicester, Leicestershire and Rutland, Police Headquarters, St Johns, Enderby, Leicestershire, Leicestershire, LE19 2BX
- By phone – 0116 229 8980 (as a reasonable adjustment under the Equality Act 2010).

If you are not satisfied with the outcome of the internal review under Section 50 of the FOIA you can apply directly to the Information Commissioner (ICO) for a decision. Generally, the ICO cannot make a decision unless you have exhausted the internal review procedure provided by the OPCC. You can contact the ICO in the following ways:

- Online at the ICO – <https://ico.org.uk/global/contact-us/>. or
- Writing to the ICO at –Information Commissioner's Office Wycliffe House, Water Lane, Wilmslow, Cheshire SK9 5AF

Yours sincerely,

Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner